App. F. IX-4

1928. (V. P.)

File No. 63-27

Serial Nos. 2-5

*Previous References*

P 119-26 (36+46)
V.P 2-27 (1+2)
P 119-26-1
V.P 36-27-1
V.P. 68-28-1 & 2 ~~78-38-3-4~~
V.P. 36-29-1 & 2
SHA 106-32 (1-2)

*Later References*

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

P.29/E

Part B for July. 1928

**SUBJECT**

Continuance of the establishment for the V. Panchayat Work

K 711-29 D 21-7-28

To the Revenue Commissioner
— D. C. of Districts
— Presidents of Dt. Bds.
— Comptroller & Govt

5.9.28.

Note.

In their Order No.L. 3686-704/ L.B. 119-26-1 dated the 18th/20th December 1926 Government sanctioned the appointment of an Inspector of Panchayets for each of the Taluks on Rs.60/- plus Rs.15/- horse allowance per mensem toassist the Amildars in connection with the administration of Village Panchayets satisfactorily beside a clerk on Rs.30/- per mensem in the case of Taluks and Rs.20/- per mensem in the case of Sub-Taluks from 1st February to 30th June 1927 and in appointing persons to the above posts, the Deputy Commissioner of Districts were instructed to utilise the services of such of the Sanitary Inspectors and clerks as were thrown out consequent on the abolition of Taluk Boards.

The salary of a Panchayet Inspector of a Sub-Taluk was fixed at Rs.40 plus -- Rs.15/- horse allowance per mensem.

In connection with the appointment of thrown out Sanitary Inspectors as Villag Panchayet Inspectors, the Deputy Commissioners of Districts were instructed among other matters that it was not necessary to giv all of them the pay of Rs.60/- sanctioned by Government and that Sanitary Inspectors thus absorbed might continue to draw their present pay, whether it was below or above Rs.60/- provided that there was no extra expenditure in the aggregate over the then sanctioned scale.

The term of offices of the above Panchayet Inspector and th clerk in question having expired by the end of June 1927, Government sanctioned the continuance of their services during the current official year (Vide L.351-69/L.B. 2-27-2 dated the 18th July 1927.)

With a view to fixing up of a -- definite programme of Sanitary and other improvements works to be ex cuted in each village by the agency of the Village Panchayet in a specified period and also to entrust the Hobli Sheikdars with the -- supervision of the above works in preference to the Panchayet In pectors, the Revenue Comissioner and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts have been requested to favour Government with their opinion in the matter as to how far these suggestions can be given effect to. The replies from the Revenue Commission r and the -- Deputy Commissioners of Kolar, Tumkur, Hassan, Shimoga, Mysore and Kadur have been so far been received and special -- reminder to others have been issued on 21st December 1927.

6/1/28

(11)

D 3686/R D.I.T. No.
26 DNO 3112 d.5.5
6/1

11. Continuance of the Inspectors of Village Panchayets.

Supdt. wants copies
have
Please ~~procure~~ a
brief note on the subject
for him
6/1. Noted
6/1
Subj to the Minister's
approval.

Submitted for perusal
7/1
9/1

K.S. Seen his query
The R. is comprehensive
Note to furnish a
comprehensive
by this evening
10/1/26

The Note is submitted in the
accompanying file.
10/1/26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 15 27 of 192

Serial No.

A note is also connected herewith relating to the appointment of Village Panchayat Inspectors is submitted.

Dr Rec Br.

16/1/28

Discussed at yesterday's meeting. The question of continuance of present arrangements to be brought up at a meeting of Dy Comrs, after getting Mej opinions already asked for

Mrs H to keep with Sircar and discuss with Dr Rec Br

13/1

mw 13/1

13/1.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

3109 L.S.S
28 5/1

Subjects proposed by the Revenue Commissioner for the interview with the Dewan and First Member on 9th January 1928.

---

1 Disposal of lands out of Amrit Mahal Kavals in the Chitaldrug and Tumkur Districts, as recommended by the Committee.

2. Disposal of Jarakabande Kaval lands.

3 Recovery of fines on agricultural lands converted into non-agricultural purposes in the Bangalore City and action to be taken in regard to the remaining

4 List of eligible candidates for Amildari and Deputy Amildari.

5 Selection of new names for filling vacancies among Amildari and Deputy Amildari.

6 Conversion of Sub Taluks into Taluks.

7 Appointment of a Deputy Amildar to assist the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk.

8 Revision of the Shekdari Scheme.

9 Extension of the Record of Rights Scheme for next year.

10 Introduction of the lumpsum grazing fee system into the malnad taluks.

11 Continuance of the Inspectors of Village Panchayets.

12 Concessions to be shown to raiyats under the Vani Vilas Sagara.

13 Water-rate to be fixed on lands under the Nida ale project.

14 Placing the Survey Establishment employed in Districts solely under the control of the Deputy Commissioners.

15 List of important references more than one month pending with Government.

---

Copy to Under Secretary to Government. (re: 11).

Chief Secretary to Government.

By Please put up the file re. 11.
MW 5/1
Today.

The connected file of 2-27-8 Hz is put up, together with a copy of the order of 10/20 Dec 26 on the subject.
By 5/1/27 K.C. Previous files connected with the appointment of V.P. Inspectors are also put up. ~~The fees relating to the appointment~~

5022/28
6 7.4.28

G.R.S. III—3]

## Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Assistant Secretary,

L S G Br

....

4. I should like to see what action has been taken on the proposal to abolish Village Panchayet Inspectors and to transfer their work to Revenue Inspectors (Sheikdars)

NM

Chief Secretary.

7th April 1928.

5. Subs detailed proposals have been called for from the Rev. Comm. & Inspector

Had 9/4 (Chacko)

28 10/4

CHIEF SECRETARY,
10 APR. 1928

NM 10/4

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

6) Dem reminds after 15 days. & mem a principle Mem after

28 10/4

Mr Kaine to note specially 12/4

NM 12/4 NM

5010 L
7.4.28

5 S.T.

Decisions arrived at during the Meeting of Officers held in the Public Offices at Bangalore on the 29th February 1928.

---:0:---

1. Village Panchayats.

I. It was decided that the posts of Panchayat Inspectors might be abolished, and their work assigned to the Revenue Inspectors of the respective Hoblies. The Revenue Commissioner should send detailed proposals in the matter.

2. Subs with draft calling for detailed proposals from the R.C.

Sd
7/4 K.S.

3. Sm above

BB
7/4

Issued vide No L 6278 dt 9.4.28 to the Rev. Comr.

Sd
14/6

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

V.C File No. 63 of 1927.

Serial No. 3

From the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore No. C.5729/27-28 dated 28th June 1928, states that the Village Panchayets Inspectors may be continu d for a period of one year and that the Deputy Commi sioners may be definitely informed that from the year 1929-30 the appointments of these Officers will ceas and that there after the shanikdars will undertake this work.--

Panchayet Inspectors

7) The Revenue Commissioner was consulted as regards the ~~further retention~~ abolition of the Panchayet Inspectors. He states that he consulted the Deputy Commissioners in the matter and that replies have been received from the Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Chitaldrug, Hassan and Shimoga only. The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore and Chitaldrug expressed no direct opinion while those of Shimoga and Ha san are not in favour of the abolition of the appointment of Inspectors.

8) The Revenue Commissioner, states that in view of the considerable difficulty in the absorption of existing Panchayet Inspectors and of the time that is still required for giving effect to the re-distribution of Hoblies, it is not possible to abolish the appointment of Panchayet Inspectors at once. He consid r that the work done so far has been mainly in the direction of constituting the Panchayets, electing Office bearers and giving effect to the new system of taxation and that during the current year it is his intention to devote more attention to intensive work and that for thi purpos the service of Panchay t In p ctor to

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

L 12

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

V.P File No. 63 of 1927.

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Received on 11. JUL. 1928 R. N. 276.

Serial No.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 6 JULY 1928 No. 205

CHIEF SECRETARY. 5 JULY 1928

to help the Amildars where necessary.

9) In the circumstances the Revenue Commissioner considers that the Inspectors may be continued for a period of one year and the Deputy Commissioner may be definitely informed that from the next year the services appointments will cease and that thereafter the sheikdars ~~to~~ should undertake this work.

10) Submitted for orders.

4/7/28 (Chaubey) 7/7

11) The R.C's proposal may be accepted.

(Second Member) 5/7

12) Yes CSK 7/7.

13) (Dawan) 9/7

14) 11-7-28

15) 12/7

P.T.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—16-4-28

130

3

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

V. P File No. 63 of 1927.

Serial No. 4

From the Dy Comr, Hassan Dt
No C 1029-26 dated 8th July 1928

Requests early orders regarding the further retention of the Village Panchayet establishments.

1b) The Revenue Comr has recommended the continuance of the Panchayet Insprs for one year till the end of 1928-29. The paper (D. No 12) has been submd to the Dewan to-day. Issue of final orders in the matter may be awaited.

17) 11/7

10/7/28

18) Please see orders in para 15.

Draft of G.O. Submd continuing the Inspectors and clerks for one year.

13/7/28

14/7

On perusal & concurrence

14/7

On legislative Complements

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
14 JULY 1928

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
19 JULY 1928

Norrie, Govtn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Issue

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 63 of 192

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | Financial Secr. has agreed Draft of Fair Rules. |
| | 21/7/28 |
| | Circulated 21/7 |
| 5 | Issued vide No. L 711-29 dated 21/24-7-28. To Revenue, Des. Sheets, Revenue Survey, Comptr. and Fine Sheets. Passes orders in the matter granting the Revenue Survey Inspectors their pay till the end of the current year. |

Revision 83 26/7

B

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

W.D. 01714—G.P.R.—30,000—18-4-28

A. P. F. VI. G]

10

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section V.P

File No 63-27

Serial No. 2

No. L. 6278

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *192*

To The Revenue Commr

Date of issue 9-4-28

Signed by Mr L.K.S. Dey, Jr

Sir,

It has been decided in the meeting of officers held in the Public Offices at Bungalow on 29/2/28 that the posts of Panchayat Inspectors may be abolished and that their work should be assigned to the Revenue Inspectors of the respective Circles.

I am directed therefore to request you to be so good

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

*over*

as to submit detailed proposals in the matter as early as possible

I have &c.

7/4

For Chief Secy

KG 7/4.

No. C-5729/21-28

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

*Dated Bangalore,* 28th June *1928.*

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

To

*The Chief Secretary to the Government*
*of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
*Bangalore.*

V.P-63-27-3,

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. L.6278/V.P.63-27-2 dated 9th April 1928 in re: the retention of the posts of Panchayet Inspectors, I have the honor to state that as this was a matter in which the Deputy Commissioners were directly interested, I referred the matter again to them and requested them to send definite proposals on the subject. Of the Deputy Commissioners consulted, only 4, viz., the Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Chitaldrug, Hassan and Shimoga have sent replies which are enclosed herewith in original. Both the Deputy Commissioners of Shimoga and Hassan are strongly of opinion that the Village Panchayet Inspectors should be continued. The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore and Chitaldrug express no direct opinion on the point. But their replies imply that in their mind also they consider that there is need for such an appointment.

2. From the details given by the Deputy Commissioners, it will be seen that the immediate abolition of these appointments is likely to cause considerable difficulty in the absorption of the people concerned. The number of these Panchayet Inspectors at present is 77; and it will not be possible for the Revenue Department to absorb more than a third of this number. Moreover, the redistribution of Hoblis has not as yet been given effect to, and it will take some time for the new system to be properly established. So far, our energies in the matter of

Village Panchayet work have been directed towards constituting these Panchayets, electing office-bearers and giving effect to the new system of taxation. We have also endeavoured to give to each Village Panchayet, a standard programme and done our best to start some kind of work or other in most of the Village Panchayets. The amount of work involved and the responsibility thrown upon the Revenue Department may be gauged from the fact that the number of Panchayets already constituted ~~number~~ Comes to about 9000 and the necessity for a detailed consideration of the means to provide the Village Panchayets with the most effective constitution and giving them correct ideas of the work, has imposed a large amount of additional work on the local officers. There has therefore not been much scope for intensive work so far. It is my intention to devote more attention to intensive work during the coming year, and the need for an officer who is well conversant with the conditions throughout the entire taluk and is in a position to help the Amildar to systematize the work effectively and carry out an intense propaganda is likely to be very much felt for some time. Probably, after some time when the Village Panchayets have settled themselves down to regular work, the need for such a special officer will not be necessary.

3. In these circumstances, I am of opinion that the Village Panchayet Inspectors may be continued for a period of one year, and the Deputy Commissioners definitely informed that from the next year, this appointment will cease and that thereafter, the Sheikdars should undertake this line of work. Provision has been made for this appointment in the Budget Estimates of the year 1928-29. Meanwhile, the question of absorption of these Village Panchayet Inspectors has to be tackled. Not more than 1/3rd of them are qualified for work in the Revenue Department; and it is a great problem as to how the other 2/3rds would be employed. The District Boards may be consulted in the matter, and a reference made to the Public Works Department. There is much force in the suggestion of the Deputy Commissioners that there is need for the revival

of the posts of Tank Inspectors. There is no agency at present to help the Amildars to see to maintenance work. I shall submit proposals on this point separately.

4. The favour of immediate orders is requested.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

M.B.R. 19

15

No Dl Dis A5 C 1739/27-28 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District, dated 21-6-28.

C 4548

From,

B.T.Kesavaiengar, Esq,,B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.




To,

P.G.D'Souza, Esq, B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner, in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C.4548/27-28, dated 20-4-28 calling for my proposals in the matter of abolition of the posts of the Village Panchayet Inspectors, I have the honour to state that the matter was discussed at length at the meeting of the Amildars and Sub Division Officers held on 21st May 28, and it was agreed by the majority of members that the continuance of the services of the Panchayet Inspectors was very necessary that they should be entrusted with the supervision work while the Shekdars with th collection work.A copy of para of 4, of the letter No. A5.C.1659/27-28, dated. 25-5-28, addressed to your Office expressing my views on the subject is also enclosed for your kind information.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Copy of para 4 of letter No. D A 5 C 1659/27-28, dated 25/5/28 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District. to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

=========

P A R A 4.

Coming to the third point that the shekdars should be more intimately associated with the Panchayet Work, the general trend of the opinion of the Amildars and the Sub Division Officers was that the continuance of the Panchayet Inspectors was necessary whether the Shekdars are entrusted with the work connected with Panchayet Scheme or Not. Some were even of opinion that two Panchayet Inspectors may be given for each Taluk in view of the fact that outside supervision is more important than needless interference in internal management and that dual responsibility between the Shekdar and Panchayet Inspector may not serve any good purpose. It seems to me that it is necessary to continue ~~that~~ the appointment of Panchayet Inspectors but the only thing to be aimed at is that the right sort of men should be selected for this work. The Shekdars may be associated with the working of the Panchayets so far as the collection of taxes is concerned, the work of supervision being vested solely in the Panchayet Inspectors. The present class of Shekdars is incapable of appreciating the democratic spirit underlying the Panchayet Scheme and the Shekdars do not command the influence necessary to make the scheme sucessful. Further it is inexpedient to entrust Shekdars with this work as they have to deal with the rural population in their capacity as Revenue Officers and as such likely to come into conflict with ~~my~~ many people in the discharge of their duties. To that extent their popularity in villages would suffer and with that their efforts also would go in vain. The scheme can only prosper on a democretic basis and by slow degrees. Intelligent non-officials should take active interest in the development of the scheme. Till then we should have a separate agency and push on gradually the benifits of the

of the scheme unmindful of the failures we meet with and the expenditure we incur. If we can afford the money the supervising agency may be gradually increased. I quite agree that out-side audit of Panchayet Accounts should be arranged at frequent intervals.

"EXTRACT"

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

18

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District,
Dated Hassan, the 23rd May 1928.

No.1029-26.

Recd from the D.C.R on 23/6/28

From

S. Abdul Wajid, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

267

To

P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No.C.4548/27-28 dated the 20th April 1928, forwarding a copy of the Chief Secretary's letter No. L.6278/V.P. 63-27-2 dated 9-4-28, calling for proposals in the matter of abolishing the post of Village Panchayet Inspectors and organising their work to the Revenue Inspectors of the respective hoblies, as decided at the meeting of Officers held in the Public Offices at Bangalore on the 29th February 1928, I have the honour to state that the Village Panchayet Scheme is just now in its infancy and its development and proper working demand special attention and close supervision by Amildars and for this purpose the assistance of one or two whole time executive subordinates at least for some years to come with the abolition of the Village Panchayet Inspectors the Amildars will be deprived of even the little help they have now and they have to entirely depend upon the Revenue Inspectors, whose onerous duties of other branches of administration may not possibly permit them to pay as much attention as is necessary to the Village Panchayet work. Besides, most of our Revenue Inspectors are not well conversant with Engineering, and the Rural Reconstruction work, which the Village Panchayets have to undertake largely and about which the Government are so anxious will thereby suffer a good deal for want of agency to supervise the works and guide the Panchayet in their undertakings. If the post of Village Panchayet Inspectors should be abolished, there will be that great problem of absorbing the present hands for solution. In this district five of the Village Panchayet Inspectors are thrown out Sanitary Inspectors of

21

No.C2(a)L 4530/27-28. — Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,

Bangalore , the 28th April 1928.

From

G B. Heblikar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

21067 1420

To

P G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No.C.4548/27-28 dated the 20th April 1928 calling for detailed proposals for the abolition of - Panchayet Inspectors and assigning their duties to Revenue Inspectors, I have the honour to state that all the Panchayet Inspectors of this District except the four named in the margin were drafted from the Shekdari line and as such there will be no difficulty in absorbing them in the Revenue - Department. Messrs. Varadarao, Ramalingaraju, Vairamudiappa and C.K.Tirumalachar, who were taken from the Bangalore District Board and who are fully qualified Sanitary Inspectors owing to retrenchment will have to be provided elsewhere as Sanitary Inspectors or retired on compensation pension under Article 292 of the Mysore Service Regulations.

1. Mr. M. Varada Rao :
2. " K. Ramalinga Raju. :
3. " Vairamudiappa :
4. " C.K.Tirumalachar. :

2. In this connection I beg to invite reference to my letter No.C2(a) L. 2752/27-28 dated 31st March 1928 furnishing my opinion on Mr. H V.Ramaswami's proposals for the better administration of the Panchayets, and I request that early arrangements may kindly be made to give Revenue Inspectors some training in Engineering and minor sanitation.

3. I take this opportunity to recommend again that the horse allowances of Revenue Inspectors may be raised from Rs 10/- to Rs 15/- the small additional cost on this account being met from the savings that will be effected by the abolition of Panchayet Inspectors.

4. As the publication of a village Panchayet Manual is also very necessary Government may kinldy be addressed to instruct the Audit Office to prepare one early as possible as the Revenue Inspectors ~~will~~

Will

will have to attend to the audit & inspection of Village Panchayet accounts in their hoblis.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

JSM/-

C No: 1029-26/27

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District
Dated Hassan, the 8th July 1928.

From

S. Abdul Wajid, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

General Secretary to Government,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the period for which the Village Panchayet Establishments of Taluks were sanctioned expired by the end of the official year 1927-28, and no orders have yet been communicated to me either for their continuance or otherwise. Pending orders of Government in the matter, I have continued the Establishments to get through the work for the current month in anticipation of sanction, and I request that my action may kindly be approved and the orders of Government for the continuance of the Establishments or otherwise communicated to me at a very early date.

2. I see in the Provisional Budget of the State for the current year 1928-29, that necessary provision has been made for these Establishments under "30 Grants 5 Rural Reconstruction", I may also mention that the incumbents now employed for Village Panchayet work in this district are all officials of some years standing and may have to be provided with other suitable appointment in case the Village Panchayet Establishment is abolished.

3. In these circumstances, I solicit early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Abdul Wajid.
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

AS/2.

V.P. 63-27-5

7

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

R e a d:-

G.O.No.L.351-69 V.P.2-27-2 dated 18-7-1927 directing the continuance till the end of 1927-28 of the temporary establishment noted below for Village Panchayet work in the State:-

(1) One Panchayet Inspector on a pay of Rs.60/- plus horse allowance of Rs.15/- for each Taluk and on a pay of Rs.40/- plus horse allowance of Rs.15/- for each Sub-Taluk.

(2) One Panchayet clerk on Rs.30/- for each Taluk and on Rs.20/- for each Sub-Tk.

2. G.O.No.L.2237-46/V.P.36-27-1 dated 21st October 1927 directing that the horse allowance of Rs.15/- a month granted to Village Panchayet Inspectors be replaced by a cycle allowance of Rs.7-8-0 a month from 1st November 1927.

3. Decision at the meeting of Officers held in the Public Offices at Bangalore on the 29th February 1928 that the post of Village Panchayet Inspectors may be abolished and that their work may be assigned to the Revenue Inspectors of the respective Hoblies.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.5729/27-28 dated 28th June 1928 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore in the matter.

---

ORDER NO.L. 711-29/V P 63-27-5

Dated, Bangalore, the 21st/24th July 1928.

The Revenue Commissioner considers

8

that in view of the difficulty in the -- absorption of the existing Village Panchayet Inspectors and of the time that is still required for giving effect to the re-distribution of the Hoblies, it is not possible to abolish the appointments of Panchayet Inspectors at once. He explains that the work done so far has been mainly in the -- direction of constituting the Panchayets, electing Office-bearers and giving effect to the new system of taxation and that during the current year it is intended to devote more attention to intensive work and that for this purpose the services of Panchayet Inspectors to help the Amildars and Deputy Amildars are necessary.

2. The Revenue Commissioner therefore recommends that the Panchayet Inspectors may be continued for one more year and the Deputy Commissioners may be definitely informed that from the beginning of the year 1929-30 these appointments will cease and the Revenue Inspectors should undertake this work. In these circumstances, Government are pleased to -- approve of the recommendation of the Revenue Commissioner and direct that the Village Panchayet Inspectors and clerks sanctioned for each of the Taluks and Sub-Taluks in the State be continued till the end of the -- current year, the charge being met from the provision of Rs.154500 made in the current year's State Budget under "30 Grants for Public Improvements - 5 Rural Reconstruction"

DRAFT)

Chief Secry to Govt.

13/7/28

To

The Rev: Commr in Mysore.
The Dy. Commrs of Dists.

P.T.O.

The Presidents of District Boards of
Bangalore, Kolar and Hassan thro'
the Deputy Commissioners concerned.
The Presidents of other District Boards.
The Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government, THROUGH the
FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT

# Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

## GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

**G. O. No. L. 711-29—V. P. 63-27-5, dated 21st—24th July 1928.**

**Village Panchayet Inspector.**

Directs the continuance of —— for one year more.

READ—

Government Order No. L. 351-69—V. P. 2-27-2, dated 18th July 1927, directing the continuance till the end of 1927-28, of the temporary establishment noted below for Village Panchayet work in the State:—

(1) One Panchayet Inspector on a pay of Rs. 60 plus horse allowance of Rs. 15 for each Taluk and on a pay of Rs. 40 plus horse allowance of Rs. 15 for each Sub-Taluk.

(2) One Panchayet clerk on Rs. 30 for each Taluk and on Rs. 20 for each Sub-Taluk.

Government Order No. L. 2237-46—V. P. 36-27-1, dated 21st October 1927, directing that the horse allowance of Rs. 15 a month granted to Village Panchayet Inspectors be replaced by a cycle allowance of Rs. 7-8-0 a month from 1st November 1927.

Decision at the meeting of Officers held in the Public Offices at Bangalore on the 29th February 1928, that the post of Village Panchayet Inspectors may be abolished and that their work may be assigned to the Revenue Inspectors of the respective Hoblis.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 5729—27-28, dated 28th June 1928, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore in the matter.

ORDER NO. L. 711-29—V. P. 63-27-5, DATED 21ST—24TH JULY 1928

The Revenue Commissioner considers that in view of the difficulty in the absorption of the existing Village Panchayet Inspectors and of the time that is still required for giving effect to the redistribution of the Hoblis, it is not possible to abolish the appointments of Panchayet Inspectors at once. He explains that the work done so far has been mainly in the direction of constituting the Panchayets, electing Office-bearers and giving effect to the new system of taxation and that during the current year it is intended to devote more attention to intensive work and that for this purpose the services of Panchayet Inspectors to help the Amildars and Deputy Amildars are necessary.

2 The Revenue Commissioner therefore recommends that the Panchayet Inspectors may be continued for one more year and the Deputy Commissioners may be definitely informed that from the beginning of the year 1929-30 these appointments will cease and the Revenue Inspectors should undertake this work. In these circumstances, Government are pleased to approve of the recommendation of the Revenue Commissioner and direct that the Village Panchayet Inspectors and clerks sanctioned for each of the Taluks and Sub-Taluks in the State be continued till the end of the current year, the charge being met from the provision of Rs. 1,54,500 made in the current year's State Budget under "30 Grants for Public Improvements, 5 Rural Reconstruction."

N. MADHAVA RAO,
*Chief Secretary to Government.*

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Presidents of District Boards of Bangalore, Kolar and Hassan, through the Deputy Commissioners concerned.
The Presidents of other District Boards.
The Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore, through the Financial Secretariat.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

W D 670—GPB—375—7-8-28.